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**A B S T R A C T**

This study describes the effect of aspect ratio on the aerodynamic performance of small-scale Archimedes spiral-wind-turbine. The computational approach was used to predict the aerodynamic performance of the scaled-down rotor blades. Aspect ratio is defined by the ratio of turbine diameter to its length. The present study includes five different models for three different aspect ratios 1.5, 1.25 and 1.0 with forward and backward elongation. The computational study was carried out using the ANSYS-CFX 19 software for a steady incompressible flow. The performance parameters of the wind turbine, which are power and torque coefficients, were explored for different aspect ratios. This was carried out for wind speed from 5 to 12 m/s with an interval of 1 m/s. In order to save computational time, the computational models were 1/10 down-scaled of the 0.5 kW class which has 1.5 m-diameter. In order to validate the results of the computational simulation, the present results were compared to previous research results of others. Obviously, the results highlight that the less aspect ratio with forward elongation, the more resulting power coefficient.

1. Introduction

For securing energy supply, handling the climate change issues, preventing of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions, and biodiversity protection, evolution of renewable technologies, energy conservation, and efficiency improvements are becoming very important. One of the most important renewable resources is the wind energy, which is a relatively mature technology with enormous prospect for commercialization and mass production. The major application of wind power is electricity generation from large grid-connected wind farms [1]. With the extending of the power grid and the reduction of electricity rare areas, small-scale wind turbines are now being utilized in several countries and in many fields, such as mobile communication base-stations, sea-water desalination, offshore aquaculture, and city road lighting [2]. Small-scale wind turbines can be classified into Horizontal-Axis Wind Turbines (HAWT) and Vertical-Axis Wind Turbines (VAWT).

Ahmed [3] investigated the advantages of small-scale wind turbines including low cost, low noise, easy and safe method for power generation by using both Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and wind tunnel test methods. He studied small-scale wind turbines with less than 10 kW of output power by comparing the power curves. A variety of wind turbines were analyzed [4-7]. These studies stated the effect of the blade geometry on the power coefficient curve, the turbine’s rated power with respect to its swept frontal area, the output electricity production, and the pay-back period.

The Archimedes spiral-wind-turbine has proven its efficiency among all types of small-scale wind
turbines because it overcomes many restrictions of other wind turbines like noise level, and yawing system as it is automatically directed to the wind direction due to drag force [8]. The most important that it has a high efficiency at the low tip-speed ratios relative to other small-scale wind turbines like Savonius wind turbines [8]. Lu et al. [9] invented a new method to investigate the aerodynamic performance based on the angular momentum theory in addition to numerical analysis. Kim et al. [10-12] studied the flow characteristic around the 1/10 down-scaled model using numerical and Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) methods. Safdari and Kim [13] studied the aerodynamic performance for a scaled-down model. Li et al. and Ji et al. [14, 15] studied the effect of the angle of attack on Archimedes spiral-wind-turbine using PIV method. Yang et al. [16] invented an industrial method for the turbine mass production. Ji et al. [17, 18] investigated the aerodynamic performance of the full-scale model at different wind tunnel cross-sectional areas. Chaudhary et al. [19] studied the aerodynamic forces for another model of Archimedes spiral-wind-turbine using numerical and field tests. Sandeep et al. and Rao et al. [20, 21] modified the turbine blades using NACA 2412 and NACA 6409 airfoils, respectively. Ebrahimi and Ghassemi [22] made two studies for 1/10 down-scaled and full-scale of the 0.5 kW class. Jang et al. [23] studied the aerodynamic performance of full-scale model with different geometry using numerical and field tests.

The above literature review shows the real need for more investigation about the effect of aspect ratio on the aerodynamic performance of the Archimedes spiral-wind-turbine.

The 0.5 kW class of Archimedes spiral wind-turbine has a diameter of 1.5 m [10, 12-15, 18, 23, 24]. Four independent parameters describe the geometry of the Archimedes spiral-wind-turbine, namely: the outer diameter, turbine length, inclination angle which is the angle between the tip of the blade and horizontal axis from back side, and blade angle which is the angle between tip of the blade and the horizontal axis from front side. Thus, Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of 1/10 down-scaled model of 0.5 kW-turbine, which is numerically investigated in the present study.

2. Methodology

Five 3D models of the Archimedes wind turbine were designed using Solidworks ver. 2018 software at the different aspect ratios (AR) as shown in figure [2].
CFD investigation was carried out using ANSYS-CFX ver. 19.0.

In order to save computational run-time, tetrahedral elements were used in the computational mesh. [9-12, 19, 22, 23] as shown in Figure 3. At the turbine face, the smallest dimension of the mesh element was set to be 3 mm, which was equal to the minimum thickness of the rotor blades. The first layer height of the mesh was set to 0.1 mm to ensure that the value of $Y^+$ next to the blade is approximately 4 as shown in Figure 4 [10, 22]. The mesh size at the interface between the stationary and rotating domains was set to 5 mm to give a homogeneous and continuous flow field.

To ensure mesh-independency of the computational results, careful mesh study was carried out by increasing the number of mesh elements. Five mesh tests for the five models of the turbine blades were carried out as shown in Figure 5. The tests were carried out by considering the variation of power coefficient ($C_p$) of the blades with the number of mesh elements. Based on the results of Fig. 4, the number of mesh elements of all models was about $1.2 \times 10^6$ except the 1-aspect ratio (back-side elongation) model was about $2 \times 10^6$ due to large blades area.
As can be seen in Figure 7, the design wind speed (U) of 5 m/s was set at the inlet boundary. At the outlet boundary, gauge pressure zero Pascal was applied for the four turbine models [9-13, 19, 21, 23]. The tip-speed ratio varied between 0.15 and 3.29, consequently. Fifteen cases for each model were solved at U = 5 m/s. Free-slip boundaries were applied to the four side surfaces of the stationary domain [10].

Solving mode was set to steady-state type [9, 19, 22]. In order to accurately predict the flow separation, the Shear Stress Transport (SST) turbulence model was used [9-12, 21, 23, 26, 27]. The SST k-ω has the advantages of both k-ε and k-ω models, where k is the turbulence kinetic energy, and ε is the dissipation rate of kinetic energy and ω is specific rate of dissipation [28]. The frame change used is the frozen rotor mode [9, 10]. The conservative interface flux for mass and momentum was used. The inlet and outlet air flows through the boundary surfaces were automatically calculated. The General Grid Interface (GGI) was used to the three sharing surfaces between stationary and rotating domains [9-12, 19]. The solution convergence was established by monitoring the residual history and the convergence criterion of RMS was $10^{-5}$ for all variables.

Equations of continuity and momentum that were applied in this work are as follows:

\[
\nabla \cdot (\rho U) = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (\rho U \otimes U) - \nabla \cdot (\mu_{\text{eff}} - \nabla U) = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot ((\mu_{\text{eff}} - \nabla U)^T + B) \tag{2}
\]

Where, $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective viscosity coefficient and B is the body force. The shear stress transport model is represented by the following equations:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho k u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\Gamma_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j}) + \bar{G}_k - Y_k \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho \omega u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\Gamma_\omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}) + \bar{G}_\omega - Y_\omega \tag{4}
\]

Where, $\bar{G}_k$ is the generation of turbulence kinetic energy for the mean velocity gradient, and $\bar{G}_\omega$ is shown as the generation of accumulation rate. For $\Gamma_k$, $\Gamma_\omega$, $Y_k$, $Y_\omega$, each of them is shown as the effective diffusivity and turbulence dissipation of k and ω. The torque coefficient and power coefficient were calculated from the following equations:

\[
C_t = \frac{\text{torque}}{0.5 \rho \cdot A \cdot U_{\infty}^2 \cdot R} \tag{5}
\]

\[
\omega = 2 \times \pi \times \frac{U}{\omega_0} \tag{6}
\]

\[
\text{Power} = \text{torque} \times \omega \tag{7}
\]

\[
C_p = \frac{\text{power}}{0.5 \cdot \rho \cdot A \cdot U_{\infty}^3} \tag{8}
\]

Where, $\rho$ is the air density, A is turbine area, $U_\infty$ is free-stream velocity, and $\omega_0$ is the angular velocity.

3. Validation

Figure 8 shows the relation between torque coefficient and tip-speed ratio (TSR) for the present studied scaled-down 1.5 aspect-ratio model in comparison to the results of Lu et al. [9]. The results of Lu [9] were based on both a steady-state numerical study and a theoretical study based on angular momentum equation for the full-scale model.

Generally, as shown in Figure 8, the values of the torque coefficient (Ct) decrease with the tip-speed ratio (TSR) for both the present investigation and those of Lu et al. [9]. However, there is a noticeable divergence between the two computational results and the theoretical results at very low tip-speed ratios till TSR approaches 1.0. Both computational results are in a good agreement with the theoretical torque coefficient from TSR=1 to 2. At relatively high tip-speed ratio (TSR>2), the present computational results of the scaled-down model are closer to the theoretical values of the torque coefficient than results of Lu et al. [9].

Thus, the good agreement of the present computational results with the theoretical model of Lu et al. [9], for most of the values of TSR, gives worthy confidence in the present computational method.
At tip-speed ratio (TSR<2) the error percentage is less than 20%, As shown in figure 9. However, the error percentage increases at tip-speed ratio (TSR>2) the percentage error increases but the results of the current investigation are closer to the theoretical results than Lu [9].

4. Results and Discussion

Figure 10 shows the variation of power coefficient (Cp) with the tip-speed ratio for the five models at wind speed 5 m/s. As can be seen in Fig. 10, the front-side elongation not only increases the power coefficient, but also reaches the highest power coefficient at lower tip-speed ratio than other models, which is perfect for low wind-speed areas and as such heavy-weight turbine. The 1-aspect ratio (front-side elongation) has the highest power coefficient of 0.249 at TSR=1.413, which is higher than the 1.5-aspect ratio model by 11.2%. However, the 1-aspect ratio (front-side elongation) model has the highest power coefficient but it has the most turbine length, which leads to turbine vibration and fluctuation, which causes less overall efficiency and reduces bearing life-time. Figure 9 shows the torque coefficient versus tip-speed ratio for the five models. The 1-aspect ratio (back-side elongation) model has the lowest power coefficient but it has the highest torque coefficient at very low tip-speed ratio. The reason of the high torque of the 1-aspect ratio (back-side elongation) model is that it has the largest contact-blade area with the wind flow, which gives it the highest solidity, which is the same working concept of the old multi-blades wind turbines. Table [2] shows the highest power and torque coefficients for the five models at their corresponding tip-speed ratios.

Table 2: The highest value of power and torque coefficients with respect to the tip-speed ratio of the five models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AR</th>
<th>Elongation Side</th>
<th>Max. C_p</th>
<th>TSR at max. C_p</th>
<th>Max. C_t</th>
<th>TSR at max. C_t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>1.884</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Front</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>1.727</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Back</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Back</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures 12 and 13 show the power and torque coefficients with respect to tip-speed ratio, respectively for the 1.5-aspect ratio model from 5 to 12 m/s with an interval of 1 m/s. Figures 14 and 15 show the power and torque coefficients with respect to tip-speed ratio, respectively, for the 1-aspect ratio model (front-side elongation) from 5 to 12 m/s with an interval of 1 m/s. As predicted, there is no noticeable difference between the results at different wind speeds for the same model.
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**Figure 12: Power coefficient VS tip-speed ratio for 1.5-aspect ratio model.**
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**Figure 13: Torque coefficient VS tip-speed ratio for 1.5-aspect ratio model.**
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**Figure 14: Power coefficient VS tip-speed ratio for 1-aspect ratio model.**
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**Figure 15: Torque coefficient VS tip-speed ratio for 1-aspect ratio model.**

5. Conclusions

The present computational study demonstrates the variation of the Archimedes wind-turbine performance (power and torque coefficients) with the aspect ratio. The computational investigation covered wind speeds from 5 to 12 m/s with an interval of 1 m/s. The aspect ratios were 1.5, 1.25 (front- and back-elongation), and 1 (front- and back-elongation).

Based on the above results and discussions, the following concluding points can be stated:

- Maximum power coefficient ($C_p$) is 0.249 at $TSR=1.413$, which is for the 1-aspect ratio (front- elongation) model.
- Maximum torque coefficient ($C_t$) is 0.298 at $TSR = 0.314$, which is for the 1-aspect ratio (back- elongation) model.
- At the lowest tip-speed ratio ($TSR$), the Archimedes wind-turbine has the unusual behaviour of increasing torque with the increase of $TSR$. This behaviour needs full-scale experimental investigation for better explanation.
- Front-side elongation models not only increase the power coefficient but also has optimum $TSR$ lower than 1.5-model and back-side elongation models which make it perfect choice for low wind-speed areas, where the turbine cannot reach high $TSR$.
- It is not recommended to decrease the aspect ratio less than 1 because more elongation leads to more vibration and fluctuation, which decreases the overall turbine efficiency and reduces bearing life-time.
- The 1 back-side elongation model has higher torque coefficient because it has the largest blade contact area with the flow stream which leads to easily start up and high torque, which makes it perfect for high-torque application like wind pumps.
• Archimedes wind-turbine models in general have greater power coefficient \( (C_p) \) at the lower tip-speed ratios than Savonius wind turbines, which makes Archimedes wind-turbine perfect for urban environment.
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